If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  NA  Claims discussed:  1 and 15  Prior art documents discussed:  Yoshiko (JP 2004-039832)  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All Participants:  Status of Application: Allowable  (1) Joseph C. Nicely.  (2) Takashi Saito (L0123).  Date of Interview: 7 January 2010  Time: 11:30 a.m.  Type of Interview: 3 Telephonic  Oldeo Conference Personal (Copy give to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed: 1 and 15  Prior art documents discussed: 2 road 15  Prior art documents discussed: 3 road 15  Prior art documents discussed: 3 road 15  Prior art documents of Neet  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  SUBSTANCE OF interview of the applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		10/571,426	MORI ET AL.	
All Participants:  Status of Application: Allowable  (1)		Examiner	Art Unit	
(2) Takashi Saito (L0123).  (3)		Joseph C. Nicely	2813	
(2) Takashi Saito (L0123).  Date of Interview: 7 January 2010 Time: 11:30 a.m.  Type of Interview: A Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 1 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 1 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 2 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 3 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 4 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 5 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 5 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 5 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 6 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 6 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 7 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 8 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 8 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 8 Telephonic Tyte of Interview: 9 Telephonic Tyte of Interv	All Participants:	Status of Application: Allowable		
Date of Interview: \( \textstyle \) January 2010 Time: \( \textstyle \) Telephonic \( \textstyle \) Conference \( \textstyle \) Personal (Copy given to: \( \textstyle \) Applicant \( \textstyle \) No \( \textstyle \) Part I.  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed: \( \textstyle \) No  Part II.  Claims discussed: \( \textstyle \) 15  Prior art documents discussed: \( \textstyle \) Yoshiko \( \textstyle \) 2004-039832)  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) Joseph C. Nicely.	(3)		
Type of Interview:  Telephonic  Video Conference  Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Show of If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  NA  Claims discussed:  1 and 15  Prior art documents discussed:  Yoshiko (JP 2004-039832)  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(2) Takashi Saito (L0123).	(4)		
Telephonic    Video Conference   Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)   Part I.   Applicant's representative   Part I.	Date of Interview: 7 January 2010	Time; <u>11:30 a.m.</u>		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A  Claims discussed:  1 and 15  Prior art documents discussed:  Yoshiko (JP 2004-039832)  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	□ Telephonic     □ Video Conference     □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes □ No			
Claims discussed:  1 and 15  Prior art documents discussed:  Yoshiko (JP 2004-039832)  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.			
Prior art documents discussed:  Yoshiko (JP 2004-039832)  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Claims discussed: 1 and 15			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Aloseph C. Nicely/ Examiner, Art Unit 2813				
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Allower C. Niccly/Examiner, Art Unit 2813	Part III.			
Examiner, Art Unit 2813	of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview			
Examiner, Art Unit 2813				
Examiner, Art Unit 2813				
Examiner, Art Unit 2813				
	/Joseph C. Nicely/ Examiner, Art Unit 2813	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 10/571,426

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative, Takashi Saito, on December 29, 2009 to discuss further clarifying that the second silicon layer is in physical contact with the first semiconductor layer in order to distinguish the claimed device from the device shown in Yoshiko. On January 8, 2010, applicant's representative gave permission for the clarification to be made in an Examiner's Amendment. On January 7, 2010, Examiner contacted applicant's representative to get permission to clarify which claim 15 depends from. Clarification was provided and the proper dependency will be detailed in the Examiner's Amendment.